(2)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5675 **/2005** SB

PETITIONER :

PAKLU RAM KARMA
(Assistant Teacher)
S/o Shri P.R.KARMA,
Aged about 43 years
R/O- Village DengaAmapara
Block-Darbha,Tahsil-Jgdalpur
District Bastar (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5823/05
Presented by Avinash Koshik
dated 10/11/05

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS :

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through the Secretary,
Department of Scheduled
Tribe- Scheduled Cast and
Triable Welfare Department,
Raipur D.K.S. Bhavan,
Mantralya Raipur.(C.G.)

2. **The Collector- Bastar** ,
Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

3. **The Assistant Commissioner,**
Department of Triable Welfare
Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

4. **Goutam Acharya (Lecturar),**
In- charge Block Education
Officer Block- Darbha , Tahsil
Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar {C.G.}

RECEIVED-COPY
(1)
10.11.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

उपखण्ड अधिकारी
एस.के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI MANDAMUS ETC AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER/ ORDETS, DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS.

... and respectfully begs to

(35)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.5675 OF 2005

Petitioner : Paklu Ram Karma

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Avinash K. Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3.
None appears for respondent No.4.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Assistant Teacher in Primary School, Denga Amapara, Block Darbha has been transferred to Boys Hostel, Chhindawara, Block Darbha, vide order dated 16-09-2005 (P-1). The petitioner impugns the transfer order dated 16-09-2005 (P-1).

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 06-12-2005, stayed the order dated 16-09-2005 (P-1) till further orders.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 3 has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gop